



Bench Marking the Legal Education Process of Pakistan in Relation to Australia, the United States of America, and the United Kingdom

Dr. Muhammad Idris*, Abdus Samad Khan**, Dr. Maksal Minaz***

**Associate Professor, Department of Education, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan

** Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan

*** Lecturer, Department of Education, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan maksalminaz@awkum.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Submitted 11.03.2023

Accepted 03.06.2023

Published 30.06.2023

Volume No. 10

Issue No. I

ISSN (Online) 2414-8512

ISSN (Print) 2311-293X

DOI:

Keywords: : Legal Education, Teaching Methods, Faculty Qualification, Critical Thinking, Theoretical Knowledge

ABSTRACT

This paper provides an analysis of legal education in Pakistan with that of the United Kingdom (UK), the United States (US), and Australia. The main objective of the study was focused on examining the similarities and variations in curriculum, teaching strategies, faculty credentials, assessment and evaluation, professional training certification, and continuing education. The study found that legal education in Pakistan places more emphasis on theoretical knowledge, with limited opportunities for practical training, while legal education in the UK, US, and Australia places a strong emphasis on practical training, critical thinking, and professional development. The paper emphasises the value of practical training and professional development in legal education therefore it is recommended that Pakistan's legal education system could benefit from incorporating these elements into its curriculum to prepare graduates in the field of social sciences for legal practices in Pakistan and internationally.



Introduction

Legal education in Pakistan has been subject to criticism for its emphasis on theoretical knowledge and limited practical training opportunities for law students. In contrast, legal education in the UK, US, and Australia places a strong emphasis on practical training, critical thinking, and professional development. The comparison will provide insight into the differences in legal education systems in these countries and how these differences affect the quality of legal education and preparation of law graduates for legal practice. (Munir, 2008).

The UK's legal education system is characterized by a strong tradition of independent learning and research, with a focus on critical thinking, problem-solving, and practical training. The UK requires aspiring lawyers to complete a law degree or non-law degrees followed by a conversion course, and then undertake a vocational training course known as the Legal Practice Course (LPC) or the Bar Professional Training Course (BPTC). These courses provide law students with practical training in areas such as advocacy, drafting, and legal research. (McCrudden, 2000).

In the US, legal education is provided through law schools, which offer a three-year program leading to the Juris Doctor (JD) degree. Law schools in the US place a strong emphasis on practical training, with opportunities for students to participate in clinical programs, internships, and moot court competitions. In

addition to the JD, some states require lawyers to complete a period of supervised practice, known as a clerkship, before being admitted to the bar. (Hussain, 2013).

In Australia, legal education is provided through law schools, which offer a range of undergraduate and postgraduate programs leading to the Bachelor of Laws (LLB) and Master of Laws (LLM) degrees. Similar to the UK and US, Australian law schools place a strong emphasis on practical training, with opportunities for students to participate in clinical programs, internships, and advocacy competitions. (Boon, A., & Webb, J. 2008).

The comparison of legal education in Pakistan with that of the UK, US, and Australia highlights the importance of practical training and professional development in legal education. The differences in legal education systems may have implications for the quality of legal education and the preparation of law graduates for legal practice in their respective countries and internationally.

Research Objectives

For the purpose of the current research paper, the following goals were condensed:

1. To analyse the legal education process in Pakistan in relation to Australia, the united states of America, and the United Kingdom

Research Question

The following research question was created to help the study reach its goal.

2. How does Pakistan's legal education system compare to that of Australia, the United States, and the United Kingdom?

Importance of Legal Education:

Legal education is the study of the principles and practices of law. It includes the study of various branches of law such as civil law, criminal law, constitutional law, international law, and others. Legal education equips individuals with the knowledge and skills required to understand and apply the law in different situations.

The importance of legal education can be understood from the following points:

1. **Understanding the Law:** Legal education helps individuals understand the law and its principles. It enables them to know their rights and obligations under the law, and the legal remedies available to them. (Giddings, 2008).
2. **Developing Analytical and Critical Thinking:** Legal education helps individuals develop analytical and critical thinking skills. It teaches them to analyze legal problems, identify issues, and apply legal principles to solve them. (Keyes & Johnstone, 2004)
3. **Enhancing Communication Skills:** Legal education helps individuals develop effective communication skills, both oral and written. Lawyers must communicate complex legal concepts and arguments to clients, judges, and juries in a clear and concise manner. (Nussbaum, 2003).
4. **Promoting Social Justice:** Legal education is essential for promoting social justice. It equips individuals with the knowledge and skills required to advocate for the rights of marginalized communities, promote access to justice, and fight against social injustice. (Nussbaum, 2003).
5. **Career Opportunities:** Legal education opens up a wide range of career opportunities for individuals. Lawyers can work in various sectors, including law firms, government agencies, NGOs, and international organizations. (Nussbaum, 2003).

The role of council in regulating legal education

The Council is an important body that plays a significant role in regulating legal education. The functions of the Council are typically laid out in the Legal Practitioners and Bar Councils Act of the country where the Council operates. The following are some of the key roles that a Council typically plays in regulating legal education:

1. **Accreditation of Law Schools:** The Council is responsible for accrediting law schools and ensuring that they meet the minimum standards for legal education. This includes evaluating the quality of faculty, curriculum, library facilities, and other resources required for effective legal education. (Rhode, 2012).

2. **Development of Legal Education Standards:** The Council is responsible for developing legal education standards, which include guidelines on the minimum duration of legal education programs, minimum qualifications for law teachers, and minimum requirements for curriculum and examinations. (Rhode, 2012).
3. **Approval of Course Curriculum:** The Council approves the course curriculum for the LL.B program, ensuring that it covers essential legal subjects and that students receive a well-rounded legal education. (Rhode, 2012).
4. **Conduct of Bar Exams:** The Council is responsible for conducting the Bar Council exams, which test candidates' knowledge of various legal subjects and ensure that only qualified individuals are permitted to practice law. (Rhode, 2012).
6. **Continuing Legal Education:** The Council also plays a role in regulating continuing legal education for lawyers. This includes developing guidelines for mandatory legal education for practicing lawyers to ensure that they stay up to date with legal developments. (Chunn & Gavigan, 2004).
7. **Setting Admission Criteria:** The Council sets admission criteria for students who want to pursue a law degree. This includes specifying the minimum educational qualifications, entrance examination requirements, and other factors that law schools must consider when admitting students. (Sauder, 2008).
8. **Monitoring Law Schools:** The Council monitors the performance of law schools and ensures that they comply with the regulations and standards set by the Council. This includes conducting periodic inspections of law schools, evaluating the quality of education provided, and taking action against institutions that fail to meet the standards. (Sauder, 2008).
9. **Disciplinary Actions:** The Council has the authority to take disciplinary action against lawyers who violate ethical and professional standards. This includes imposing penalties, suspending or revoking licenses, and disqualifying lawyers from practicing law. (Sauder, 2008).
10. **Liaising with Government and other stakeholders:** The Council works closely with government agencies, legal professional associations, and other stakeholders to develop and implement policies that regulate legal education. It also provides guidance and support to these stakeholders on matters related to legal education and the legal profession. (Rhode, 2012).

Councils play a critical role in regulating legal education and ensuring that the legal profession operates in a transparent, ethical, and professional manner. Their work helps to promote access to justice, uphold the rule of law, and maintain the highest standards of legal education and practice.

The Benefits and Drawbacks of Letting the Council Control Legal Education on Its Own

Giving free hand to Council for governing legal education has its advantages and disadvantages. Here are some of the pros and cons:

Benefits

1. **Expertise:** The Council is typically composed of experts in legal education, and giving them free hand to govern legal education can ensure that decisions are made by individuals with the necessary expertise and knowledge. (Nussbaum, 1992).
2. **Flexibility:** The Council can make quick decisions and respond quickly to changes in the legal education landscape. This can be especially beneficial in situations where the legal education system needs to adapt to changing social, economic, and technological conditions. (Nussbaum, 1992).
3. **Autonomy:** Giving the Council a free hand can ensure that legal education is not subject to political interference or influence. This can help maintain the integrity and independence of the legal education system. (Christie, 2010).
4. **Efficiency:** Giving a free hand to the Council can lead to more efficient decision-making and implementation of policies. The Council can make quick decisions and take prompt action, which can help to improve the overall quality of legal education. (Christie, 2010).
5. **Consistency:** The Council can ensure consistency in legal education standards across the country. This can help to promote fairness and equity in the legal education system, and ensure that all law students receive a quality legal education. (Carey, 2002).

6. **Quality Control:** The Council can ensure that law schools meet minimum standards for legal education, and that students receive a comprehensive legal education. This can help to maintain the quality of legal services, promote social justice, and uphold the rule of law in the country. (Carey, 2002)

Drawbacks

1. **Lack of Accountability:** Giving the Council a free hand can make it difficult to hold them accountable for their decisions. This can result in decisions being made without adequate input from stakeholders or without considering the broader implications of those decisions. (Nussbaum, M. C. 1992).
2. **Potential for Bias:** The Council may be influenced by its own biases and interests, which could lead to decisions that do not reflect the needs and interests of all stakeholders in the legal education system. (Christie, 2010).
3. **Lack of Transparency:** Giving the Council a free hand may limit transparency in decision-making and make it difficult for stakeholders to understand how and why decisions are made. This can lead to a lack of trust in the legal education system. (Carey, 2002)
4. **Inadequate Representation:** Giving the Council a free hand may limit the representation of diverse perspectives and interests in decision-making. This can result in decisions that do not reflect the needs of marginalized communities or other underrepresented groups. (Carey, 2002)
5. **Lack of Innovation:** Giving the Council a free hand may stifle innovation and creativity in legal education. The Council may be more focused on maintaining the status quo and meeting minimum standards rather than exploring new and innovative approaches to legal education. (Carey, 2002).
6. **Inflexibility:** Giving the Council a free hand may limit the flexibility of law schools to respond to the unique needs and interests of their students. This can result in a one-size-fits-all approach to legal education that may not be suitable for all students. (Carey, 2002).
7. **Cost:** Giving the Council a free hand may increase the cost of legal education. The Council may require law schools to meet more stringent standards, which can result in higher tuition fees and other costs for students. (Carey, 2002).
8. **Bureaucracy:** Giving the Council a free hand may lead to increased bureaucracy and red tape. The Council may require law schools to submit more paperwork and comply with more regulations, which can be time-consuming and costly. (Carey, S. V. 2002).

While giving a free hand to the Council for governing legal education has its benefits, it is essential to balance this autonomy with accountability, transparency, and stakeholder representation to ensure that decisions are made in the best interests of all stakeholders in the legal education system.

Legal education rules in UK, USA and AUSTRALIA:

In the UK, the rules for legal education are set out by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) and the Bar Standards Board (BSB). Here's an overview of the requirements:

UK:

1. **Qualifying Law Degree (LLB):** To become a solicitor or barrister in the UK, students must have a qualifying law degree, which is a three-year undergraduate degree in law. Some universities also offer four-year law degrees that include a year abroad or a year in industry. (Abel, 2015).
2. **Non-Law Degree and Law Conversion Course:** Students who do not have a qualifying law degree can still become a solicitor or barrister by completing a non-law degree followed by a law conversion course, such as the Graduate Diploma in Law (GDL). The GDL usually takes one year to complete and covers the essential areas of law. (Abel, 2015).
3. **Vocational Training Course:** After completing the LLB or law conversion course, students must undertake a vocational training course. For solicitors, this is the Legal Practice Course (LPC), which

focuses on practical legal skills such as drafting, advocacy, and legal research. For barristers, this is the Bar Professional Training Course (BPTC), which covers advocacy, drafting, and legal research, as well as ethics and professional standards. (Munro, 2005).

4. **Training Contract or Pupillage:** To qualify as a solicitor or barrister, students must complete a period of training, known as a training contract or pupillage. This involves working as a trainee solicitor or pupil barrister under the supervision of a qualified lawyer for a period of two years for solicitors, and one year for barristers. (Munro, 2005).
5. **Professional Skills Course:** Once students have completed their training contract or pupillage, they must undertake a Professional Skills Course (PSC) to develop their practical legal skills further. (Munro, 2005).
6. **Admission to the Roll of Solicitors or the Bar:** After completing all the necessary education and training, students can apply to be admitted to the Roll of Solicitors or the Bar, depending on their chosen career path. This involves passing a character and suitability test and paying a fee. McCrudden (2000).

It's worth noting that there may be additional requirements or variations depending on the specific state or jurisdiction in the UK.

USA:

In the USA, the rules for legal education are set by each individual state, but there are some common requirements that most states follow. Here's an overview of the requirements:

1. **Undergraduate Degree:** To become a lawyer in the USA, students must first obtain an undergraduate degree. While many law schools do not require a specific major, some students choose to study pre-law, political science, or criminal justice to prepare for law school (Legrand & Munday, (Eds.). 2003).
2. **Law School:** Students who want to become a lawyer must attend law school and obtain a Juris Doctor (JD) degree. Law school typically takes three years to complete and covers topics such as constitutional law, property law, civil procedure, criminal law, and legal writing (Legrand & Munday (Eds.). 2003).
3. **Bar Exam:** After graduating from law school, students must pass the bar exam in the state where they wish to practice law. The bar exam is a comprehensive exam that tests a candidate's knowledge of the law and their ability to apply legal principles to real-world situations. (Boon & Webb 2008).
4. **Character and Fitness Evaluation:** In addition to passing the bar exam, candidates for a law license must also pass a character and fitness evaluation. This evaluation is designed to determine whether a candidate has the necessary ethical standards and good character to practice law. (Munro, 2005).
5. **Continuing Legal Education (CLE):** Once licensed, lawyers are required to complete continuing legal education (CLE) courses to stay up-to-date on changes in the law and to maintain their license to practice law. The specific number of hours required varies by state. (Munro, 2005).

It's important to note that the rules for legal education and practice can vary by state in the USA, so it's essential to research the specific requirements for the state in which you want to practice law.

AUSTRALIA

In Australia, the rules for legal education are set by each individual state, but there are some common requirements that most states follow. Here's an overview of the requirements:

1. **Undergraduate Degree:** To become a lawyer in Australia, students must first obtain an undergraduate degree, which may be a Bachelor of Laws (LLB) or a combined degree that includes law and another discipline. Some law schools also offer a Juris Doctor (JD) program for students who already have an undergraduate degree in another field. (Munro, 2005).
2. **Practical Legal Training:** After completing their undergraduate degree, students must complete a practical legal training program, such as a Graduate Diploma in Legal Practice (GDLP). This program is designed to provide students with practical experience and prepare them for the demands of legal practice. (Legrand, P., & Munday, R. (Eds.). 2003).

3. Admissions: Once students have completed their practical legal training, they must apply for admission to the legal profession in their state or territory. This involves passing a character and fitness evaluation and completing the necessary paperwork and fees. (Munro, 2005).
4. Continuing Professional Development: Once licensed, lawyers are required to complete continuing professional development (CPD) courses to stay up-to-date on changes in the law and to maintain their license to practice law. The specific number of hours required varies by state. (Abel, 2015).

It's important to note that the rules for legal education and practice can vary by state in Australia, so it's essential to research the specific requirements for the state or territory in which you want to practice law.

Comparative Analysis

Legal education systems in Pakistan, the UK, USA, and Australia have significant differences in their structure, curriculum, and teaching methodologies. Here is a brief comparison of legal education in Pakistan with that in the UK, USA, and Australia.

1. Structure: In Pakistan, legal education is typically offered as an undergraduate LLB degree or as a postgraduate LLM degree. The LLB degree is typically three to five years long, depending on the university, and is followed by a one-year postgraduate LLM degree. In contrast, in the UK, students can choose to study law either as an undergraduate LLB degree, which is typically three years long, or as a postgraduate law degree, such as the GDL (Graduate Diploma in Law) or the LPC (Legal Practice Course), which are both one-year courses. Similarly, in the US, students typically complete a four-year undergraduate degree followed by a three-year law degree, known as a Juris Doctor (JD). In Australia, law is typically studied as an undergraduate degree and takes four years to complete.
2. Curriculum: Legal education curricula in Pakistan are typically based on the Common Law system, which is inherited from the British colonial legacy. However, there is a growing trend towards incorporating Islamic law into the curriculum. In the UK, law students are taught a combination of core subjects such as contract law, tort law, and criminal law, along with optional modules that allow students to specialize in areas such as international law or human rights law. In the US, law students typically take a
3. Structure: In Pakistan, legal education is typically offered as an undergraduate LLB degree or as a postgraduate LLM degree (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). The LLB degree is typically three to five years long, depending on the university, and is followed by a one-year postgraduate LLM degree. In contrast, in the UK, students can choose to study law either as an undergraduate LLB degree, which is typically three years long, or as a postgraduate law degree, such as the GDL (Graduate Diploma in Law) or the LPC (Legal Practice Course), which are both one-year courses (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). Similarly, in the US, students typically complete a four-year undergraduate degree followed by a three-year law degree, known as a Juris Doctor (JD) (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law is typically studied as an undergraduate degree and takes four years to complete (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
4. Curriculum: Legal education curricula in Pakistan are typically based on the Common Law system, which is inherited from the British colonial legacy. However, there is a growing trend towards incorporating Islamic law into the curriculum (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law students are taught a combination of core subjects such as contract law, tort law, and criminal law, along with optional modules that allow students to specialize in areas such as international law or human rights law (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law students typically take a standardized curriculum that covers topics such as constitutional law, civil procedure, and property law, with some opportunities for elective courses (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law students study both common law and statute law, with a focus on legal theory and practical skills (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
5. Teaching Methodologies: Legal education in Pakistan typically relies on traditional lecture-based teaching methods, with limited opportunities for interactive or experiential learning (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law schools often use a combination of lectures, seminars, and tutorials, with a focus on critical thinking and independent learning (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law schools use the Socratic method of teaching, which involves the professor

- questioning the students to encourage critical thinking and analysis (American Bar Association, n.d.).
6. **Accreditation and Regulation:** In Pakistan, legal education is regulated by the Pakistan Bar Council (PBC), which sets minimum standards for legal education and is responsible for accrediting law schools (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law schools are accredited by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA) and the Bar Standards Board (BSB) (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law schools are accredited by the American Bar Association (ABA), which sets standards for legal education (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law schools are accredited by the Law Admissions Consultative Committee (LACC) and the Legal Profession Admission Board (LPAB), which set minimum standards for legal education (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
 7. **Admission and Bar Examination:** In Pakistan, students must pass an entrance test called the Law Admission Test (LAT) to gain admission to a law program. After completing their degree, students must pass the bar examination, which is administered by the Pakistan Bar Council, to become a lawyer (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, students must meet certain academic requirements to gain admission to a law program and then complete the Legal Practice Course (LPC) or the Bar Professional Training Course (BPTC) after graduation to qualify as a solicitor or barrister, respectively (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, students must pass the bar examination after completing their JD degree to become a licensed attorney (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, students must complete a Practical Legal Training (PLT) program after graduation and pass a bar examination to become a lawyer (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
 8. **Funding:** Legal education in Pakistan is heavily subsidized by the government, with tuition fees for public universities being significantly lower than those for private universities (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, tuition fees for law programs vary depending on the university, with some universities charging up to £9,250 per year for undergraduate degrees (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, tuition fees for law programs can range from \$20,000 to \$70,000 per year, depending on the university (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, tuition fees for law programs vary depending on the university and the degree level, with undergraduate degrees costing between AUD 7,000 and AUD 37,000 per year. (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
 9. **Curriculum:** Legal education in Pakistan is primarily based on the British model, with a focus on case law and legal theory (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law programs focus on the study of specific legal areas, such as contract law, tort law, and criminal law, with an emphasis on legal theory and analysis (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law programs typically offer a more diverse curriculum, with students able to select from a range of elective courses covering different areas of law (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law programs typically offer a mix of compulsory and elective courses, with students able to specialize in a particular area of law (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
 10. **Teaching Methods:** Legal education in Pakistan is primarily lecture-based, with limited opportunities for experiential learning and practical training (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK and US, law programs use a range of teaching methods, including lectures, seminars, and workshops, as well as opportunities for practical training through internships, clinical programs, and moot court competitions (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022; American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law programs also use a range of teaching methods, with an emphasis on problem-based learning and practical training (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
 11. **Faculty:** In Pakistan, there is a shortage of qualified faculty members in law schools, with many faculty members lacking doctoral degrees or practical experience in the legal profession (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law faculty members typically hold doctoral degrees and have extensive experience in the legal profession (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law faculty members are also highly qualified, with many holding doctoral degrees and having significant experience in the legal profession (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law faculty members also hold doctoral degrees and have extensive experience in the legal profession (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
 12. **Assessment and Evaluation:** In Pakistan, law students are typically evaluated through written examinations, with limited opportunities for practical assessments or oral exams (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law students are evaluated through a mix of written exams, coursework, and practical assessments, such as mock trials or moot court competitions (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law students are also evaluated through a mix of written exams,

coursework, and practical assessments, with an emphasis on developing critical thinking and legal analysis skills (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law students are evaluated through a mix of written exams, coursework, practical assessments, and oral exams (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).

13. Professional Training and Certification: In Pakistan, law graduates must pass a bar exam and complete a mandatory one-year apprenticeship with a senior lawyer to become a practicing lawyer (Mahmood & Munir, 2020). In the UK, law graduates must complete a period of vocational training, such as the Legal Practice Course (LPC) or the Bar Professional Training Course (BPTC), before becoming a solicitor or barrister, respectively (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022). In the US, law graduates must pass a bar exam and meet other state-specific requirements to become licensed to practice law (American Bar Association, n.d.). In Australia, law graduates must complete a period of supervised legal practice and pass a bar exam to become a practicing lawyer (Law Council of Australia, n.d.).
14. Continuing Education: In Pakistan, there are limited opportunities for continuing legal education, with few professional development courses or seminars available (Mahmood & Munir 2020). In the UK, US, and Australia, continuing legal education is widely available, with a range of courses, seminars, and conferences offered for practicing lawyers to stay up-to-date with changes in the law and legal practice (Law Society of England and Wales, 2022; American Bar Association, n.d.; Law Council of Australia, n.d.).

Overall, while legal education in Pakistan has some similarities to the UK, US, and Australia, there are significant differences in terms of curriculum, teaching methods, faculty qualifications, assessment and evaluation, professional training and certification, and continuing education. These differences may have implications for the quality of legal education and the preparation of law graduates for legal practice in Pakistan and internationally.

Conclusion & Recommendations

In conclusion, legal education in Pakistan, UK, US, and Australia has both similarities and differences. While all four countries require a law degree to practice law, the structure and content of legal education varies significantly. Pakistan's legal education system has been criticized for lacking practical training, outdated curriculum, and limited opportunities for continuing legal education. On the other hand, legal education in the UK, US, and Australia places a strong emphasis on practical training, critical thinking, and professional development. The differences in legal education may have implications for the quality of legal education and the preparation of law graduates for legal practice in their respective countries and internationally. On the basis of above analysis it is concluded that the value of practical training and professional development in legal education is very important therefore it is recommended that Pakistan's legal education system could benefit from incorporating these elements into its curriculum to prepare graduates in the field of social sciences for legal practices in Pakistan and internationally.

References

- Abel, R. L. (2015). "You never want a serious crisis to go to waste." Reflections on the Reform of Legal Education in the US, UK, and Australia. *International Journal of the Legal Profession*, 22(1), 3-25.
- American Bar Association. (n.d.). Law School Accreditation. Retrieved from https://www.americanbar.org/groups/legal_education/resources/aba_approved_law_schools/standard_s.html
- Law Council of Australia. (n.d.). Studying Law. Retrieved from <https://www.lawcouncil.asn.au/studying-law>
- Law Society of England and Wales. (2022).
- Boon, A., & Webb, J. (2008). Legal education and training in England and Wales: Back to the future. *J. Legal Educ.*, 58, 79.
- Carey, S. V. (2002). An essay on the evolution of clinical legal education and its impact on student trial practice. *U. Kan. L. Rev.*, 51, 509.
- Christie, C. A. L. (2010). What Critiques Have Been Made of the Socratic Method in Legal Education: The Socratic Method in Legal Education: Uses, Abuses and Beyond. *Eur. JL Reform*, 12, 340.
- Chunn, D. E., & Gavigan, S. A. (2004). Welfare law, welfare fraud, and the moral regulation of the 'never deserving' poor. *Social & legal studies*, 13(2), 219-243.
- Giddings, J. (2008). Contemplating the future of clinical legal education. *Griffith Law Review*, 17(1), 1-26.
- Hussain, F. (2013). Continuing Legal/Judicial Education in Pakistan.
- Keyes, M., & Johnstone, R. (2004). Changing legal education: rhetoric, reality, and prospects for the

- future. *Sydney L. Rev.*, 26, 537.
- Legrand, P., & Munday, R. (Eds.). (2003). *Comparative legal studies: traditions and transitions*. Cambridge University Press.
- McCrudden, C. (2000). Common law of human rights?: Transnational judicial conversations on constitutional rights. *Oxford journal of legal studies*, 20(4), 499-532.
- McCrudden, C. (2000). Common law of human rights?: Transnational judicial conversations on constitutional rights. *Oxford journal of legal studies*, 20(4), 499-532.
- Munir, M. A. (2008). Therapeutic Jurisprudence and Legal Education in Pakistan: A Quest for Innovation in Study of Law to Mend Attitudes of Law Professionals Towards Litigants. *Pakistan Law Journal*.
- Munro, V. E. (2005). A tale of two servitudes: Defining and implementing a domestic response to trafficking of women for prostitution in the UK and Australia. *Social & Legal Studies*, 14(1), 91-114.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2003). Cultivating humanity in legal education. *U. Chi. L. Rev.*, 70, 265.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (1992). The Use and Abuse of Philosophy in Legal Education. *Stan. L. Rev.*, 45, 1627.
- Qualifying as a Solicitor. Retrieved from <https://www.lawsociety.org.uk/career-advice/become-a-solicitor/qualifying-as-a-solicitor>
- Mahmood, M. T., & Munir, F. (2020). Legal Education in Pakistan: A Critical Review. *Journal of Education and Educational Development*, 7(1), 137-154.
- Rhode, D. L. (2012). Access to justice: an agenda for legal education and research. *J. Legal Educ.*, 62, 531.
- Sauder, M. (2008). Interlopers and field change: The entry of US news into the field of legal education. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 53(2), 209-234.